

1 MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

2 DAVID R. CALLAWAY (CABN 121782)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 LLOYD FARNHAM (CABN 202231)
5 Assistant United States Attorney

6 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6973
Facsimile: (415) 436-7027
lloyd.farnham@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for United States of America

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 15-cr-006 WHA
14 Plaintiff,)
15 v.) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
16 DARELL POWELL,) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE
17 Defendant.) SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
18 _____)

19
20
21
22
23 On February 17, 2015, defendant Darell Powell appeared before the Court, and that appearance
24 was the first appearance before this Court. At the hearing, the Court directed the parties to appear on
25 March 10, 2015, for a further status conference. The parties agree that the defendant's counsel requires
26 additional time to review the discovery provided by the government and to effectively prepare for trial.
27 Therefore, the parties agree and jointly request that the time between February 17, 2015 and March 10,
28 2015 should be excluded in order to ensure reasonable time necessary for the effective preparation of

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
CASE NO. 15-CR-006 WHA

1 counsel pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by
2 granting the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

3 DATED: February 17, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

4 MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney
5

6 /s/ Lloyd Farnham
7 LLOYD FARNHAM
Assistant United States Attorney
8

9 OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL
10 PUBLIC DEFENDER
11

12 /s/ Geoffrey Hansen
13 GEOFFREY HANSEN
Attorney for Defendant Darell Powell
14
15

16 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

17 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the exclusion of time from February 17, 2015,
18 through and including March 10, 2015, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the
19 continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
20 § 3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective
21 preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24 DATED: February 18, 2015.

25
26
27
28 
HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
United States District Court Judge